Climate Modelling and Sub-seasonal to Seasonal Prediction: Opportunities and Challenges

Steven Woolnough

National Centre for Atmospheric Science University of Reading



This is where you put the footer

Outline

- How good are our climate models?
- Near term climate predictions
- Sources of Uncertainty
 - Convection
 - Resolution
- Sub-seasonal and Seasonal Prediction



How good are our climate models?

- Some quotes from IPCC AR5, Ch 9: Evaluation of Climate Models *
 - "Climate models have continued to be developed and improved since the AR4,"
 - "The ability of climate models to simulate surface temperature has improved in many, though not all, important aspects relative to the generation of models assessed in the AR4.

On regional scales (sub-continental and smaller), the confidence in model capability to simulate surface temperature is less than for the larger scales;"

• The simulation of large-scale patterns of precipitation has improved somewhat since the AR4, although models continue to perform less well for precipitation than for surface temperature.

At regional scales, precipitation is not simulated as well,"

*Flato, G., J. Marotzke, B. Abiodun, P. Braconnot, S.C. Chou, W. Collins, P. Cox, F. Driouech, S. Emori, V. Eyring, C. Forest, P. Gleckler, E. Guilyardi, C. Jakob, V. Kattsov, C. Reason and M. Rummukainen, 2013: Evaluation of Climate Models. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA



CMIP5 Multi-model mean Temperatures

- Multi Model Annual mean Temperature biases from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5^{*}
 - Temperature biases are generally less than 2°C except for a few areas including
 - Coastal Upwelling areas, high elevations and near the ice edge
 - Magnitude of the seasonal cycle is generally overestimated over extratropical land, and under-estimated over the extra-tropical oceans



from Fig 9.2 of the IPPC AR5 WG1 Report



CMIP5 Multi-model mean Precipitation

- Multi Model Annual mean Precipitation biases from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5^{*}
 - Large Scale Pattern Moderately well capture but large tropical biases
 - Excessive precipitation in western equatorial Indian Ocean, Tropical Convergence Zones
 - Deficient precipitation over equatorial South America, Indian Subcontinent, equatorial west Pacific
 - Some of these biases represent relative errors in excess of 50% and can be larger than 75%



from Fig 9.4 of the IPPC AR5 WG1 Report



CMIP5 Projections of Near term Climate Change

- Drawn from IPCC AR5, Ch 11: Near-term Climate Change: Projections and Predictability *
 - Projections rather than predictions, that is they have not been initialized with the current state of the climate system but are extensions of simulations of the recent historical period
 - Based on a medium emissions scenario
 - Relative to 1986-2005

On the following few figures

• **Stippling** indicates that the multi-model mean change is more than two standard deviations of the interannual variability of the last 20 years **and** more than 90% of the models agree on the sign of the change

Signal is large <u>and</u> the models agree

• **Hatching** indicates that the change is less than one standard deviation of the interannual variability of the last 20 years

Signal is small or the models disagree

* Kirtman, B., S.B. Power, J.A. Adedoyin, G.J. Boer, R. Bojariu, I. Camilloni, F.J. Doblas-Reyes, A.M. Fiore, M. Kimoto, G.A. Meehl, M. Prather, A. Sarr, C. Schär, R. Sutton, G.J. van Oldenborgh, G. Vecchi and H.J. Wang, 2013: Near-term Climate Change: Projections and Predictability. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.



Change in Surface Air Temperature, 2016-2035



-1-0.75-0.25 0 0.25 0.5 0.75 1 1.5 2 2.5 3.5 4.5 5.5

Fig 11.10 of the IPPC AR5 WG1 Report



Change in Precipitation, 2016-2035



Fig 11.12 of the IPPC AR5 WG1 Report



Sources of Uncertainty



- Hawkins and Sutton (2009,2011) developed a method for partitioning the spread (uncertainty) in climate projections between
- Internal variability; accounting for natural variability in the climate system
- Model response uncertainty; accounting for the fact that different models have a different response to a given forcing
- Scenario Uncertainty; accounting for the fact that we don't know how future emissions will change
- For near term major sources of uncertainty are associated with internal variability and model uncertainty

Fig 11.14 of the IPPC AR5 WG1 Report following Hawkins and Sutton (2009,2011)



Internal Variability

- In decadal means, these depends on long timescale models of variability in the climate system
 - For the global mean this decadal variability is large related to changes in the ocean circulation which affect the rate at which heat is taken up by the ocean
 - This uncertainty can potentially be narrowed through the use of simulations in which the ocean circulation in particular is initialized close to observations
- CMIP5 included for the first time an set of initialized decadal "hindcasts" of the late 20th century to test the skill of decadal prediction systems



From Figs 11.4 and 11.6 of the IPPC AR5 WG1 Report Following Doblas Reyas et al. (2013)



Model Uncertainty

- Climate models are not perfect
 - We have a set of mathematical equations which describe the evolution of the atmosphere but we cannot solve them exactly
 - Climate models solve these equations numerically, however we cannot simulate all the scales of motion in the atmosphere
 - For the resolved scales of motion (50-250km and larger) our numerical techniques are pretty good.
 - For scales smaller than the resolution of the climate model we have to use *physically based parametrizartion schemes* to represent the effects of the unresolved processes e.g.
 - Cloud microphysics
 - Turbulent transport in the atmospheric boundary layer
 - Convection
 - Interactions with energy and momentum transfer between the surface and the atmosphere
 - Interaction with orography
 - Radiation
 - Uncertainties (errors) in these parametrization schemes are the largest source of model uncertainty



Some examples from within in the NCAS Climate tropical group

(Nicholas Klingaman, Stephanie Bush, Linda Hirons, Chris Holloway)

- Changing one parameter in the convection scheme (entrainment rate) which controls mixing between clouds and the environment
 - Impact on the bias
 - Impact on variability

Holloway, C. E., Woolnough, S. J. and Lister, G. M. S. (2015) The effects of explicit versus parameterized convection on the MJO in a large-domain high-resolution tropical case study. Part II: Processes leading to differences in MJO development, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, in press
Bush, S. J., Turner, A. G., Woolnough, S. J., Martin, G. M. and Klingaman, N. P. (2015) The effect of increased convective entrainment on Asian monsoon biases in the MetUM General Circulation Model. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*. 140, 311-326
Klingaman, N. and Woolnough, S. (2014) The role of air–sea coupling in the simulation of the Madden–Julian oscillation in the Hadley Centre model. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 140, 2272-2286.
Klingaman, N. and Woolnough, S. (2014) Using a case-study approach to improve the Madden–Julian oscillation in the Hadley Centre model. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 140, 2491-2505.
Holloway, C. E., Woolnough, S. J. and Lister, G. M. S. (2013) The effects of explicit versus parameterized convection on the MJO in a large-domain high-resolution tropical case study. Part I: Characterization of large-scale organization and propagation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 70,1342-1369.





NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL



Winter



Figures courtesy of Linda Hirons

Summer

Atmospheric Science NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL









































The Madden-Julian Oscillation



- Winter (Nov-Apr) composite of OLR and 850hPa winds
 - based on the multivariate index of Wheeler and Hendon (2004)
- Convective signal develops in Indian Ocean propagate eastwards and decays in the Central Pacific
- Low-level westerly anomalies behind the convection and easterlies ahead

Figure taken from US Clivar MJO WG Diagnostics Page http://climate.snu.ac.kr/mjo_diagnostics/index.htm



Sensitivity to the representation of convection: variability

Low Entrainment

Western Pacific



2.00 1.50 1.00 and Africa 0.50 Maritime Continent Western Hernisphere a tern -1.00 -1.50 -2.00 -0.50 -2.00 -1.50 -1.00 0.00 0.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 Indian Ocean RMM1 (k) 25 20 2 15 -5 -10-15 -20 -25 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180



100

120

140

adapted from Klingaman and Woolnough (2014a,b)

80

60

-15

-20

-25

40

National Centre for Atmospheric Science

Longitude (degrees east)

www.ncas.ac.uk

160

180

Blocking

-95 -85 -55 -65 -35 -25 -15 -5 **Precipitation Anomaly** -35 -25 -15 -5

Temperature Anomaly

Winter



Spring

Figures courtesy of Reinhard Schiemann

Sensitivity to the representation of convection: variability



Low Entrainment



High Entrainment



Figures courtesy of Linda Hirons



Sensitivity to the representation of convection: variability

Auto-correlation of NAO index 30 1.00• GOML1, Dec-Feb 0.90 • GOML1 1.5F, Dec-Feb • ERA-Int, Dec-Feb 250.80 0.70200.60 Correlation Lag (days) 0.50× e-folding timescale 15▲ 5% significance 0.400.30 10p = 0.050.20* 0.10 $\mathbf{5}$ 0.00 -0.10L 0 25 $\mathbf{5}$ 10 152030 0 Lag (days)

National Centre for Atmospheric Science Figure courtesy of Nick Klingaman

Resolution and Convection

Can resolution solve the problems with representing convection?

- We're a long way from being able to resolve convection explicitly in our climate models, **however**
- We can now run simulations with explicit convection over large domains to understand e.g.,
 - how convection is organized across scales
 - what controls the temporal evolution of convection

to improve our representation of convection in our climate models



Resolution and Convection

Can resolution solve the problems with representing convection?

- We're a long way from being able to resolve convection explicitly in our climate models, **however**
- We can now run simulations with explicit convection over large domains to understand e.g.,
 - how convection is organized across scales
 - what controls the temporal evolution of convection
 - to improve our representation of convection in our climate models
- The problem of the representation of convection in models is a major focus of national and international activity at the moment
 - WCRP Grand Challenge on Clouds, Circulation and Climate Sensitivity
 - Major German BMBF Funded Research Programme
 - High Definition Clouds and Precipitation for Climate Prediction HD(CP)²
 - New UK Joint NERC/Met Office Programme launched on
 - Understanding and Representing Atmospheric Convection across scales



Sensitivity to Resolution

Based on results from the UK JWCRP* High Resolution Climate Modelling Programme

(Pier Luigi Vidale , Reinhard Schiemann, Marie-Estelle Demory, NCAS; Malcolm Roberts, Matthew Mizielinski, Met Office)



Resolution increase

*Joint Weather and Climate Research Programme, a collaboration between the UK Met Office and the Natural Envrionmental Research Council



Sensitivity to resolution: Tropical Precipitation Bias



National Centre for Atmospheric Science

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

- Impact of resolution on tropical precipitation bias, Northern Hemisphere summer example
- Small impact of resolution in and around the Maritime Continent, likely associated with the impact of changes in the representation of orography, and land sea mask (e.g. Schiemann et al. 2014, Bush et al. 2015)
 - Impact is comparable to the sensitivity to the representation of convective entrainment
 - <u>Generally</u> resolution has a modest impact on mean state biases, perhaps larger changes in extra-tropics than tropics

Figures courtesy of Stephanie Bush

Sensitivity to Resolution: Variability

Significant Improvement in Spring Blocking in the Euro-Atlantic Section

Observed

Low Resolution

High Resolution







Figures courtesy of Reinhard Schiemann



Sensitivity to Resolution: Water Cycle

Significant Changes in the Water Cycle

- Increase in fraction of global precipitation falling over land
- Increase in transport of water from ocean to land
 - In tropics by the mean flow
 - In extratropics by the eddies (weather systems)





Seasonal and Sub-Seasonal Prediction

- Seasonal Prediction; Forecast lead times of 2-4 months (occasionally out to 7 months)
 - Skill comes primarily from slowly varying parts of the climate system, e.g.
 - SST, Sea Ice
 - Land surface conditions
- Sub-seasonal Prediction; Forecast lead times of 3-4 weeks
 - Skill comes from both slowly varying components of the system, e.g. and the initial state of the atmosphere
- Needs a coupled model
- Relies on a good representation
 - relevant modes of climate variability
 - teleconnection pathways in the atmosphere
- Forecasts typically issued as anomaly from a lead-time dependent model climatology to account for model bias in mean and variability
 - Ensemble mean anomalies
 - Quantile (e.g. tercile, quintile) probabilities



Seasonal Prediction

- Good skill for tropical temperature and tropical Pacific precipitation
- Some skill for extra-tropical temperatures over oceans, but little or no skill for extra-tropical precipitation



Correlation coefficients of • 2m temperature (left) • precipitation (right) for • ECWMF Sys4 (top) and (bottom) • NCEP CFSv2 (bottom) for the period of 28 years from 1982 to 2009 winter From Kim et al. (2012)

www.ncas.ac.uk

• Recently the Met Office are reporting improved skill for the North Atlantic and European Sector in their new system GLOSEA5 (Scaife et al, 2014)



Sub-Seasonal Prediction

An example from the ECMWF system

Tropical Skill largely related to the MJO, improved MJO prediction skill over the last decade



Evolution of the MJO skill scores since 2002 as a function of the phase of the MJO in the initial conditions. The curves represent the day when the MJO bivariate correlation reaches 0.6. From Vitart et al. (2012)



Sub-Seasonal Prediction

An example from the ECMWF system

• Strong teleconnection between the MJO and the NAO (Cassou, 2008); capturing this teleconnection relies on good predictions of phase and amplitude of MJO

N. Extratropics- Extended Winter (ONDJFM)



MJO phase 3 10-day lagged composites of 500 hPa geopotential height anomaly over the Northern Extratropics for reforecasts that were produced in 2002 (left), in 2011 (centre) and ERA-Interim (right). From Vitart et al. (2012)

• Impact of improved MJO teleconnection on NAO forecast skill



Atmospheric Science

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

Evolution of the ensemble mean NAO correlation with observed for lead times 19-25days for all the cases when there is an MJO in the initial conditions and when there is no MJO in the initial conditions From Vitart et al (2012)



Sub-Seasonal Prediction

An example from the ECMWF system



- Improving skill for Temperature and precipitaiton
- Skill for temperature at all lead times
- Skill for precipitation at days 12-18
 and recently 19-25

Evolution of the discrete ranked probability skill score (RPSS) for weekly mean anomalies over land in the Northern Extratropics for •2m temperature (top) •Precipitation (bottom) From Vitart et al (2012)



Sub-Seasonal Prediction: WCRP/WWRP S2S Project

 The World Climate Research Programme and World Weather Research Programme have recently launched a

Sub-Seasonal to Seasonal Prediction Project (S2S) s2sprediction.net

- Objectives
 - To improve forecast skill and understanding on the subseasonal to seasonal timescale with special emphasis on high-impact weather events
 - To promote their exploitation by the applications community
- A database of near realtime operational sub-seasonal forecasts from 11
 operational centres

https://software.ecmwf.int/wiki/display/S2S/Home

• Typically updated once a week out to lead times between 30-60 days



Sub-Seasonal Prediction: WCRP/WWRP S2S Project

10 metre u-velocity 10 metre v-velocity

Skin temperature Soil moisture top 20cm Soil moisture top 100 cm Soil temperature top 20 cm Soil temperature top 100 cm

Surface air maximum temperature Surface air minimum temperature Surface air temperature Surface air dewpoint temperature

Surface pressure

Time-integrated surface latent heat flux Time-integrated surface net solar radiation Time-integrated surface net thermal radiation Time-integrated surface sensible heat flux Time-integrated surface solar radiation downwards Time-integrated surface thermal radiation downwards

Total cloud cover Total precipitation Northward turbulent surface stress * Eastward turbulent surface stress *

Water runoff and drainage * Surface water runoff *



National Centre for Atmospheric Science Instantaneous once a day (00Z) Instantaneous once a day (00Z)

Daily averaged Daily averaged Daily averaged Daily averaged Daily averaged 6-hourly 6-hourly Daily averaged Daily averaged

Instantaneous once a day (00Z)

Accumulated, archived every 24 hours Accumulated, archived every 24 hours

Daily averaged

Accumulated, archived every 6-hours Accumulated, archived every 24 hours Accumulated, archived every 24 hours

Accumulated, archived every 24 hours Accumulated, archived every 24 hours

Sub-Seasonal Prediction: WCRP/WWRP S2S Project

 The World Climate Research Programme and World Weather Research Programme have recently launched a

Sub-Seasonal to Seasonal Prediction Project (S2S) s2sprediction.net

- Objectives
 - To improve forecast skill and understanding on the subseasonal to seasonal timescale with special emphasis on high-impact weather events
 - To promote their exploitation by the applications community
- A database of near realtime operational sub-seasonal forecasts from 11 operational centres

https://software.ecmwf.int/wiki/display/S2S/Home

- Typically updated once a week out to lead times between 30-60 days
- Research Foci on
 - Evaluation, Windows of opportunity
 - Monsoons, The Maritime Continent, Africa
 - Extremes
 - Teleconnections





Change in the Water Cycle



From Fig 11.14 of the IPPC AR5 WG1 Report



Change in Extremes



European-scale projections from the ENSEMBLES regional climate modelling project for 2016–2035 relative to 1986–2005, with top and bottom panels applicable to JJA,DJF respectively. For temperature, projected changes (°C) are displayed in terms of ensemble mean changes.

The stippling in (e–h) highlights regions where 80% of the models agree in the sign of the change (for temperature all models agree on the sign of the change). The analysis includes 10 GCM-RCM simulation chains for the SRES A1B scenario. (Rajczak et al., 2013.)

Fig 11.18 of the IPPC AR5 WG1 Report





From Martin and Levine (2013)

Change from present day in a timeslice experiment using change in SST and GHG from RCP8.5 at 2100 cf

- the model bias
- the change going to the next version
- the change in one parameter (of a different version)



