

science for global insight



# Food and nutrition security in Europe – a quantification of multistakeholder scenarios

Deppermann, A. <sup>1)</sup>, Verfoort, J. <sup>2)</sup>, Havlík, P. <sup>1)</sup>, Leclère, D. <sup>1)</sup>
 <sup>1)</sup> Ecosystems Services & Management Program International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Austria
 <sup>2)</sup> Environmental Change Institute - University of Oxford



ITASA



Depperman A. et al., LiveM conference 2016, Postdam, 16/06/2016

5

ILASA

# Stakeholder process for scenarios

# Stakeholder process for scenarios

### A pool of stakeholders

- Institutional bodies: European Commission, MS Ministries
- Academics
- Private actors of the agrifood chain
- NGOs

#### A three-stage process with consultation on:

- ▶ i. consult on relevant drivers → selection of 8 most important + CC
  - Consumption patterns, envtl degradation, poverty & inequality, social & technical innovation, urban/rural population dynamics, market concentration, trade agreements, resource use
- ii. stages in drivers (e.g., 'rising' inequality + 'stable' population + degraded 'evnt') → elimination of impossible combinations & selection of 4 contrasted ones (OLDFAR model)
- ▶ iii. Further characterization of the 4 narratives



## **Explorative Scenarios - narratives**

### Fed up Europe

- unhealthy diets
- negative environmental impacts
- low poverty but high inequality
- low innovation but free markets
- resource crisis

#### **The Retrotopia**

- migrants are kept out
- greying societies
- unhealthy vegan/vegetarians
- low poverty with low inequality
- high innovation
- protected markets

#### **The Protein Union**

- creating new sources of protein
- high meat then insects & art. meat
- high poverty, low inequality
- high innovation
- protected markets
- resource scarcity

### **The Price of Health**

- Europeans returning to rural lives
- strong government
- healthy vegan/vegetarian food
- high poverty and inequality
- high innovation
- protected markets



# Stakeholder process for scenarios

### A pool of stakeholders

- Institutional bodies: European Commission, MS Ministries
- Academics
- Private actors of the agrifood chain
- NGOs

#### A three-stage process with consultation on:

- ▶ i. consult on relevant drivers → selection of 8 most important + CC
  - Consumption patterns, envtl degradation, poverty & inequality, social & technical innovation, urban/rural population dynamics, market concentration, trade agreements, resource use
- ii. stages in drivers (e.g., 'rising' inequality + 'stable' population + degraded 'evnt')
  - elimination of imp. combinations & selection of 4 narratives (OLDFAR model)
- iii. Further characterization of the 4 narratives
- Scenario quantification (based on SSP & RCP scenarios)



## **Population – EU28**



### **Climate scenario development**



## **Yield changes for cereals in EU28**



NoCC – no climate change

S

- Rcp8p5 climate change impacts with CO<sup>2</sup> fertilization effects
- NoC8p5 without CO<sup>2</sup> fertilization effect

# Exogenous livestock production efficiency changes





# **Further assumption on:**

- Diet preferences
- Trade openness
- etc.



## The model

# GLOBIOM

- Global scale model based detailed spatial resolution (>200k cells)
- Partial equilibrium
  - Agricultural, wood and bioenergy markets
  - 30 world regions
  - Bilateral trade flows based on spatial equilibrium approach
- Bottom-up approach
  - Explicit description of production technologies a la Leontief
  - Technologies specified by production system and grid cell
- Linear programming approach
  - Maximization of consumer + producer (incl. trade costs) surplus
  - Non linear expansion costs
  - Optimization constraints
- Base year: 2000
- Time step: 10 years, time horizon: 2030/2050 but also 2100



## Livestock

#### Gridded Livestock of the World – Robinson et al. (2011)



#### Depperman A. et al., LiveM conference 2016, Postdam, 16/06/2016

## **Livestock production systems distribution**

#### Sere and Steinfeld (1996) classification updated by Robinson et al. (2011)





## **Production systems parameterization**



Herrero et al. (2013)

11ASA

## **Ruminant production efficiency**





Herrero et al. (2013)

# **RESULTS**

## **Domestic use of crops (CRP) and livestock products (LSP) in the EU28 (Index 2010 = 1)**



# Shares of calories from milk and meat in EU28 diet



# Net trade (production – consumption) in EU28



# EU28 price developments for *livestock* products



# Land use change in 1000 ha by land cover class at EU28 level in 2050 compared to 2010



## Further results available on:

- Commodity specific results (beef, milk, wheat...)
- Production quantities
- Feed ratios
- Fertilizer use
- Water consumption for irrigation
- Emissions

. . .

- + Results for the rest of the world
- + Climate change

→ Potential future connection with regional/local livestock sector (case) studies

## **Implications for the livestock sector**

- Main driver: exogenous preference changes
  (→ towards vegetarian diets in 2 scenarios)
- Livestock production follows demand trends
- Trade compensates partly
- → Less pasture and cropland use, lower GHG emissions, less fertilization
- Main production side drivers:
  - environmental legislation (GHG taxes)
  - technical progress
- $\rightarrow$  Drive prices





### Thank you !

leclere@iiasa.ac.at depperma@iiasa.ac.at www.globiom.org