

European Commission





Agriculture (cows) and land use (trees) in the Commission proposals for the 2030 Climate and Energy Framework

- Policy, Impact Assessment, Models -

MACSUR Workshop, Norway, 10 October 2016 Peter Wehrheim, DG CLIMATE ACTION





• Introduction: policy context

- Impact Assessment: options, models, examples
- Proposal for Effort Sharing Regulation and LULUCF Regulation
- Conclusions and Outlook: more work for modellers!

Policy context



EU Climate and Energy Framework

In October 2014 the European Council gave guidance on how to implement the 2030 climate and energy framework





At least 40% reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions





Land use: in both LULUCF and the ESR

Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF): CO₂

AGRICULTURE non-CO₂ (CH₄, N₂O) – in the ESR

Net Primary Production (CO, uptake) Partly human N,O, NO. induced CH, CO, CO, NMVOC (strongly linked N,O to global CH. natural carbon CH, Fertilizer cycle) Ν fixation Biomass CO CO. HWP **Uncertainties**? litte Additionality? Soil respiration Permanence? Soil Carbon Leakage?

Mainly humaninduced

=> More readily quantifiable

Land Use and Agriculture



EU28 agriculture & land emissions since 1990 Agriculture Non-CO₂



GHG emissions and removals as reported under the UNFCCC

Reported ≠ accounted figures!!!





- Introduction: policy context
- Impact Assessment: challenges, models, options, examples
- Proposal for Effort Sharing Regulation and LULUCF Regulation
- Conclusions and Outlook: more work for modellers!

Impact Assessment



Objective:

evidence-based policy design

Challenges:

- Not one big option (reduce emissions by XX%), but many detailed options to be assessed
- Not one sector, but agric + forestry + energy
- What is the mitigation potential in agriculture?
- What is the credit generation potential in land use/forestry?
 Quantitative assessment has to factor in accounting rules!

Impact Assessment



Problem setting and drivers



This prepares the EU for the long term. Post 2050, the Paris Agreement outlines that emissions might need to be counter balanced by higher removals.



Figure 8: Overview of EUCLIMIT modelling components used for the assessment of impacts related to agriculture and LULUCF



Source: SWD(2016)249 p38 and Annex 2

Impact Assessment Projections of reported figures for LULUCF



From SWD(2016)249

Figure 2: Projection of reported emissions (+) and removals (-) from LULUCF main activities for the EU28 2005 - 2030, in MtCO2eq



Notes: Removals (sink) and emissions (source) represented as negative and positive values, respectively Source: EUCLIMIT Reference 2016 model projections



Non-CO₂ GHG emissions reduce strongly until 2030

- Sectoral trends differ markedly
- Waste emissions reduce strongly, driven by policies
- Energy and transport related emissions reduce in line with energy system changes
- Significant trend shift in AC& refrigeration, reflecting revised F-gas regulation of 2014
- Decreases of industrial emissions reflect ETS inclusion
- Wastewater emission stability reflects population trends
- Agricultural emissions remain stable in absolute terms, relative increase





Mitigation options for non-CO2 agriculture in the reference projection

- Farm scale Anaerobic Digestion
- Breeding for feed efficiency
- Ban agricultural waste burning
- Rice cultivation: intermittent aeration and alternative hybrids
- Feed additives and/or changed feed management practices

European Commission

Afforestation "gross-net" accounting (total annual increment)

Table 8: Impact of streamlining framework different accounting rules on credit generation potential for Afforested Land (RMUs in MtCO₂eq) EU28 2021-2030 including additional mitigation enhanced at a carbon price of €20/tonne, negative value is credits

Activity	Option R0 Status quo	Option R1: Only land-based UNFCCC, 20yr	Option R2: Only land-based UNFCCC, 30yr
Afforested land	-901	-437	-730

Source: 2015 UNFCCC Inventory data and EUCLIMIT projections

Source: SWD(2016)249 p41





Agricultural land "net-net" accounting (against base year)

Switching the **base year**

from 1990 to 2005/7 for agricultural land categories, and any elected categories such as wetlands: more recent reference and improved accuracy (less inter-year variance).





	Option B0	Option B1
Base year	1990 Kyoto base year	Period 2005-2007

Preferred option: B1 - period 2005-2007

- Reduces uncertainty of the data information on agricultural land was significantly improved as of 2005; more recent datasets
- Aligns with ESR, improves environmental integrity
- Base period (2005-2006-2007) helps address potential high inter-annual variability



Table 6: Impact of the base year change on the potentially available LULUCF RMUs for the EU28 from agricultural land, 2021-2030, including additional mitigation enhanced at a carbon price of €20/tonne, in MtCO2, negative value is credits

Activity	Option B0	Option B1	
Activity	Status quo 1990	Base year: Avg. 2005-07	
Agricultural land	-645	-437	

Source: EUCLIMIT modelling

Source: SWD(2016)249 p38

Impact Assessment: Flexibility ESR to LULUCF



Table 15: Direct impact of different levels of flexibility between LULUCF and ESD on GHG abatement costs inthe agriculture non-CO2 sector (annual costs in 2030 in \notin 2013) and assuming a 20% reduction in 2030compared to 2005Flexibility Options

	F0 No flex	F1 Low	F2 Medium	F2 Medium (excluding breeding)	F3 High
Non-CO ₂ Emission reduction in 2030, MtCO ₂ eq	78.0	43.0	25.0	25.0	7.0
LULUCF reduction in 2030 MtCO ₂ eq	0	35.7	53	53	70.7
Non-CO ₂ Emission reduction in 2021-2030, MtCO ₂ eq*	380	215	125	125	35
LULUCF reduction in 2021-2030 cf. 2005, MtCO ₂ eq*	0	179	265	265	354
Allocated flexibility of credits (MtCO ₂ eq for period 2021-2030)	0	190	280	280	425
Marginal costs E/tCO_2eq . for non-CO ₂ mitigation	78.6	32.5	7.3	31.4	0

Source: GAINS model/GLOBIUM for LULUCF based on AR4 Global Warming Potentials and using Reference 2016. * assuming a linear increase of mitigation between 2021 and 2030. : SWD(2016)249 p38





- Introduction: policy context
- Impact Assessment: options, models, examples
- Proposal for Effort Sharing Regulation and LULUCF Regulation
- Summary and outlook: more work for modellers!



Effort Sharing Regulation (ESR) in a nutshell

- Sets rules for calculating annual emission allocations and 5-yearly evaluation of compliance of Member States' annual progress towards targets
- To recognise different capacities, principal indicator to differentiate targets **2013 GDP**
- For 11 higher income MS, additional adjustment in this group to reflect **cost efficiency** concerns
- Target range to remain between 0 and -40%





- LULUCF: emissions <u>and</u> removals associated with our use of **soils, trees,** plants, biomass and timber. Reporting <u>and</u> accounting done by Member States only – no obligation for private actors.
- The opportunity to sequester/remove CO2 from the atmosphere gives LULUCF a particularly important role in view of the objective from the Paris Agreement to reach **carbon neutrality** by **(bis 2050)**.
- Reported removals from LULUCF currently equivalent to about 10% of the total EU greenhouse gas emissions. Decisive: how much **additional mitigation potential** can LULUCF deliver?
- Accounting rules aim to make that distinction.





What does the LULUCF proposal deliver? (1)

- Brings the CO2 commitment for this sector into the EU climate and energy framework for the first time
 - As a stand-alone policy pillar
 - Where the **"no-debit" rule is retained**. Accounted emissions from land use are entirely compensated by an equivalent removal of CO₂ from the atmosphere
- Is in line with:
 - the agreement by EU leaders that all sectors should contribute to the EU's 2030 emissions reduction target (October 2014)
 - the Paris Agreement on climate change
- Is compatible with food security and biodiversity objectives



What does the LULUCF proposal deliver? (2)

- Adjustments would be made to LULUCF accounting rules and architecture
 - reducing administrative burden and red tape
 - is **not** addressed to individual actors (farmers, foresters)
- Ensures that emissions of biomass would be recorded and counted
 - promoting bio-energy feed-stocks that are most sustainable
- Introduces new flexibilities
 - including LULUCF, driven by "the low-mitigation potential of agriculture" (EUCC, 2014)
 - incentivising additional mitigation action in all sectors



Flexibility, within LULUCF

- Intra-account flexibility: a Member State can balance emissions from one land accounting category by removals from another category on their territory
- Intra-LULUCF pillar flexibility: Surplus accounted removals may be transferred to another Member State

And

- Member States can cumulate net removals identified in their LULUCF accounts over the 10 year period ("banking")
- **Eligibility**: Member States are required to ensure adequate monitoring in order to use the flexibilities.



What does the proposal deliver (5)

Flexibility from ESR towards LULUCF:

• Allows the compensation of net emissions in LULUCF with use of emission allocations under ESR

Flexibility from LULUCF towards ESR:

- Upper limit of 280 Mt on total amount of flexibility in the period 2021-2030
- Credits from afforestation, cropland and grassland management
- Identified based upon the needs of the agriculture sector





Average share of agriculture non-CO2 emissions in the ESR, 2008-12

50%

40%

30%

The share of agriculture non-CO2 emissions in the ESD varies significantly between MSs





Distribution of credit potential between Member States

According to EUCC guidance, flexibility should be allocated to each MS reflecting their needs

- For LULUCF, based on the lower mitigation potential of the agriculture sector
- While also recognising the need to preserve environmental integrity and maintain incentives to reduce emissions in ESR

MSs which have a higher share of agricultural emissions in the ESR are more affected by the limited mitigation potential in agriculture.





- Introduction: policy context
- Impact Assessment: options, models, examples
- Proposal for Effort Sharing Regulation and LULUCF Regulation
- Summary and outlook: more work for modellers!



2030 Climate and Energy Framework

-40% Greenhouse Gas Emissions by 2030 (domestic EU) compared to 2005



Including: Power/Energy Sector and Industry, Aviation





- **1. Fully in line with Paris Agreement, no backsliding on robustness and transparency**
- **2. Provides for continuity**
 - Addresses Member States and not individual farmers or foresters
 - Stand-alone LULUCF pillar
 - No-debit rule (from KP)
 - Flexibility within LULUCF and from ESR to LULUCF



3. Proposes limited innovations

- Flexibility to the ESR up to 280 mt CO2
- Aligning accounting rules (AF,CM/GM)
- Defining EU-internal process to set national forest management levels
- Simplifying administration



Policy

- COM proposals in **negotiations with co-legislators**
- LULUCF proposals sets framework for providing incentives for additional mitigation, e.g. through CAP
- Rule set for agriculture, land use, forestry in PA
- Agriculture and land use in *mid-century strategies*

Modelling

- Land Use/forestry: accounting rules matter
- Non-CO2 agriculture: mitigation potential
- Dynamic effects, long-term projections
- Partial vs general equilibrium models



Thank you!

Visit DG Climate Action online:



ec.europa.eu/ clima/ facebook.com/ EUClimateAction

f



twitter.com/ EUClimateAction



pinterest.com/ EUClimateAction

youtube.com/ EUClimateAction

Impact Assessment:

http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/forests/lulucf/index_en.htm